Estimating the delay between host infection and disease (incubation period) and assessing its significance to the epidemiology of plant diseases

Melen Leclerc, Thierry Doré, Christopher Gilligan, Philippe Lucas, João Filipe

ModStatSP Meeting – 16<sup>th</sup> December 2013 - Paris



## Our problem : link hidden infections and observable symptoms





#### Visible epidemic

Symptomatic plants



#### Hidden epidemic

Cryptic infections

### The incubation period

- Time between hidden infection and appearance of detectable symptoms of pathology (Kern, 1956; Keeling & Rohani, 2008)
- Specificity of each disease
- Incubation period distrubutions are generally described by non-negative probability distributions with a pronounced mode (Keeling & Rohani, 2008; Chan & Johansson, 2012)
- In general, incubation period data are rare...(nonexistent in the case of soilborne plant diseases)



# Patho-system, working hypothesis and methodology

- Patho-system : Rhizoctonia solani in sugar beet
- <u>Hypothesis</u> : **age-specificity** of the incubation period



Methodology : Susceptible Infectious Experimental measurements of the Sowing ---> incubation period Age-specific incubation period analysis Disease Incubation Build an age-varying model of the incubation period distribution Assess the importance of using suitable distribution through simulations of a compartmental markovian model Harvest--time of infection

### Probability distributions and epidemiological periods

In compartmental Markovian models the time spent in each state is exponentially distributed

 $\rightarrow$  in a simple SID model the incubation period is exponentially distributed (no mode)

 More realistic Erlang (or Gamma) -distributed periods can be introduced into compartmental models by subdividing compartments (i.e. introducing transient states) (Cairns, 1990; Lloyd, 2001, Wearing et al., 2005; Cunniffe et al., 2012)



- Can we use the <u>Erlang</u> distribution for our specific system ?
- → comparison against <u>exponential</u>, <u>Gamma</u> (free shape parameter), <u>Weibull</u> and <u>Lognormal</u> distributions



#### Experimental measurements of the incubation period

- Plant inoculated with 3 infested barley seeds (inoculum)
- 9 ages of plants (14, 32, 46, 60, 74, 88, 102, 116, 130 days)
- For each individual the time-to-disease (above-ground symptom) was recorded
- At least 45 individual observations for each age  $\rightarrow$  distribution of the incubation period





### **Results of the experiments (raw data)**



# Age-specific distribution analysis

Gamma			Weibull			Lognormal			
Total AIC		4998.06	ノ		4994.65	ノ	•	5017.56	
Age in days (°C.days)	AIC(*)	shape	rate	AIC(*)	shape	rate	AIC(*)	meanlog(**)	sdlog(**)
18 (182.35)	604.40	47.88	0.600	620.73	6.47	0.0119	607.52	4.36	0.15
32 (359.25)	512.60	15.30	0.120	507.04	4.83	0.0071	517.00	4.82	0.27
46 (542.00)	552.50	20.84	0.100	552.70	5.18	0.0046	554.21	5.27	0.22
60 (607.05)	488.00	67.72	0.240	484.18	9.90	0.0034	489.35	5.63	0.12
74 (811.15)	536.11	21.68	0.060	542.85	4.74	0.0025	535.20	5.90	0.21
88 (1053.95)	582.90	24.39	0.050	580.60	5.78	0.0021	584.89	6.10	0.21
102 (1303.35)	548.21	18.00	0.040	527.12	6.83	0.0021	555.82	6.06	0.26
116 (1545.00)	595.53	9.83	0.020	592.74	3.76	0.0018	597.83	6.14	0.33
130 (1764.85)	577.81	19.19	0.030	586.69	4.19	0.0017	575.74	6.26	0.23
Erlang Exponential									
Total AIC	4996.8		6084.6		-			-	
Age in days (°C.days)	AIC(*)	shape	rate	AIC	rate				
18 (182.35)	604.50	48	0.610	840.01	0.013				
32 (359.25)	513.00	15	0.120	611.20	0.008	Weibull wins			
46 (542.00)	552.55	21	0.110	669.00	0.005				
60 (607.05)	488.05	68	0.240	652.04	0.004				
74 (811.15)	535.11	22	0.060	638.52	0.003	Erlang (and Gamma) is close			
88 (1053.95)	583.00	24	0.050	697.81	0.002				
102 (1303.35)	548.24	18	0.040	640.31	0.002				
116 (1545.00)	595.54	10	0.020	663.30	0.002				
130 (1764.85)	576.81	18	0.030	672.41	0.002				
(*) Bold indicates lowest or within 5 units from the lowest AIC score.									

(\*\*) Mean and standard deviation of the log transformed variable

## Empirical age-varying model of the incubation period distribution T

• T~ Erlang [k,  $\lambda(t)$ ] with  $\lambda(t) = a^* \exp(-b^* t) + c$ 



# Epidemiological SID hierarchical model with differing incubation period distributions

- Spatially explicit SID model, individual-based model, discrete event Markov process
- Exponentially distributed vs Erlang distributed incubation period



• For each model, simulation of 1000 Markov chains on a 100\*100 square lattice (weak/strong secondary infections ; early/late start of the hidden epidemic)





# Simulation of the cryptic spread of infection and disease in the host population

→ considerable differences (lag) between the epidemic dynamics of cryptic infections and observable disease

→ With the exponentially distributed incubation period the lag between disease emergence and hidden infections spread is erroneously reduced



Mean epidemic dynamics

Time(°C.days)

# Conclusion (1)

- <u>First data-supported model</u> for the incubation period (soilborne plant disease)
- Improvement of the age-varying model (multi-modal distribution, Weibull distribution)?
- The development of observable disease epidemics <u>can lag cryptic pathogen spread</u>
  <u>significantly</u>

 $\rightarrow$  can mislead practitioners about the extent of infection and risk of further disease

• It is important to parameterized epidemiological models using <u>appropriate incubation</u> <u>period assumptions and data</u> to reduce errors in model prediction

# Conclusion (2)

 Use the data-supported model of the incubation period to assess, through simulation, the efficacy of treatments based on the detection of above-ground symptoms of the root rot disease

- Introduce the fitted age-varying model into the observation model of a mechanistic-statistical model to infer hidden epidemiological parameters (the incubation period is related to the censoring)
- Apply the approach we had to investigate the incubation period of other patho-systems
- When experimental measurement is difficult (e.g. for perennial crops) incubation period distribution may be estimated directly from the observations of symptomatic individuals



# Thank you for your attention !!!



melenleclerc@gmail.com

melen.leclerc@avignon.inra.fr